

4. Журавський В. Антикоруційне законодавство як тест на серйозність прагнення України до європейських цінностей. Урядовий кур'єр. 2016. 22 березня. 6 с.
5. Куліш А. Досвід боротьби з корупцією в поліції Великої Британії. Право України. 2015. № 12. 142–145 с.
6. Мельник О.М., Руснак Ю.І., Шамрай О.В. Науково-практичний коментар Закону України “Про засади запобігання та протидії корупції”; за ред. В.О. Шамрая. Київ: Видавничий дім “Професіонал”, 2012. 464 с.
7. Настюк В., Бєлєвцева В. До питання про міжнародний досвід функціонування антикорупційних систем. Вісн. Акад. прав. наук України. 2014. № 2. 152–162 с.
8. Фаїз А.Т. Відповідальність за хабарництво за кримінальним законодавством Йорданії і України (порівняльно-правове дослідження): дис. ... канд. юрид. наук: 12.00.08. Київ: Інститут держави і права ім. В.М. Корецького, 2012. 192 с.
9. Ярошенко М. Боротьба з корупцією в Україні. Юридичний журнал. 2011. № 10. С. 50–51.
10. Яцків І. Деякі питання застосування міжнародних механізмів протидії корупції в Україні. Право України. 2010. № 11. С. 257–265.

#### REFERENCES

1. Andrushko, P., 2014, “Corruption Offenses and Corruption Crimes as Their Type: the Ratio and Differentiation of Responsibility for their Commission”, The Law of Ukraine 9, 90–101.
2. Berlach, A.I., 2014, “Basic Principles of Prevention and Counteraction to Corruption in Ukraine: Characteristics and Status of Compliance”, Current State and Prospects of Development of Administrative Law and Process: materials of International scient.-practice conf. (October 10–11, 2014), Odesa, 208 p.
3. Bohdan, A., 2015, “Corruption Provokes an Excessive Amount of Administrative Services: [conversation with the Government on anti-corruption issues] / written by Irina Nagrebetska, Government Courier, 25th of November, P. 18.
4. Zhuravsky, V., 2016, “Anticorruption Legislation as a Test of the Seriousness of Ukraine’s Aspiration to European values”, Government Courier, 22nd March, P. 6.
5. Kulish, A., 2015, “The Experience of Fighting Corruption in the Police of Great Britain”, The Law of Ukraine 12, 142–145.
6. Melnyk, O.M., Rusnak, Y.I., Shamray, O.V., 2012, “Scientific and Practical Commentary of the Bill of Ukraine “About the Principles of Prevention and Counteraction to Corruption”/ editor V.O. Shamarai, Kyiv: “The Professional Publishing House”, 464 p.
7. Nastiuk, V., Believtseva, V., 2014, “About the International Experience of the Functioning of Anti-Corruption Systems”, Herald of the Academy of Legal Sciences of Ukraine 2, 152–162.
8. Faiz, A.T., 2012, “Responsibility for Bribery under the Criminal Legislation of Jordan and Ukraine” (comparative legal study): thesis ... cand. of jurid. sciences: 12.00.08, Kyiv: Institute of State and Law named after V.M. Koretsky, 192 p.
9. Yaroshenko, M., 2011, “Fighting Corruption in Ukraine”, Legal Journal 10, 50–51.
10. Yatskiy, I., 2010, “Several Issues of an Application of International Mechanisms of Combating Corruption in Ukraine”, The Law of Ukraine 11, 257–265.

UDC 342. 951:351

**Y.V. Demianchuk.**  
Candidate of Law,  
Doctoral Student, NUSFSU,  
Irpın, Kyiv Region

#### MAIN FEATURES OF INTERNATIONAL PRACTICES IN PREVENTING AND COMBATING CORRUPTION

The study of the main characteristics of the international practice of prevention and counteraction to corruption, analysis of the positive experience of those countries that have already achieved some success in combating corruption will significantly optimize the current system of anti-corruption measures in Ukraine.

The author notes that experience in this area has been received in some countries of the world: the United States, England, Germany, Canada, the Netherlands, Singapore is becoming urgent and can be applied in the anticorruption practice of Ukraine.

The author's analysis of anti-corruption legislation, which establishes liability for corruption crimes in countries of different legal systems that have made progress in the fight against corruption (Germany, Switzerland, Jordan), will improve the relevant norms in Ukraine, which is on the way of the formation of a rule-of-law state and the main democratic institutions in society.

The study suggests that, firstly, the use of positive experiences of those foreign countries that have already achieved some success in anti-corruption practices will help to optimize the current system of anti-corruption measures in Ukraine. An example of this is the anticorruption practice of the United States, England, Germany, Canada, the Netherlands, Singapore.

Secondly, the education of a highly culturally nation will contribute to counteracting illegal phenomena in society, in particular, corruption. The formation of an elite capable of not only complying with the natural laws of life, but also independently creating them, revealing new natural laws, will allow to develop and raise the level of culture of other members of society.

Thirdly, the use of the UK experience in the functioning of a special institution to prevent a "conflict of interest" in the civil service; in the Netherlands, which are engaged in detecting mistakes of officials, will improve the functioning of the necessary control institutions in this area. In this regard, taking into account American and German experience, an important aspect will strengthen the scope of public control over all important human and financial problems of the state.

To conclude, it should be noted that, taking into account international experience, the implementation of the current anti-corruption policy in the world should occur simultaneously in three directions: improvement of modern legislation, stimulation of anti-corruption behavior and appropriate legal education.

**Keywords:** counteraction to corruption, prevention of corruption, civil service, anti-corruption legislation.

Отримано 09.01.2018